Primer on Short-Lived Climate Pollutants

Slowing the rate of global warming over the near term by cutting short-lived climate pollutants to complement carbon dioxide reductions for the long term

Institute for Governance & Sustainable Development

February 2013
Primer on Short-Lived Climate Pollutants

Slowing the rate of global warming over the near term by cutting short-lived climate pollutants to complement carbon dioxide reductions for the long term

The Institute for Governance & Sustainable Development’s mission is to promote just and sustainable societies and to protect the environment by advancing the understanding, development, and implementation of effective, accountable and democratic systems of governance for sustainable development.

Beginning in 2005, the Institute embarked on a “fast-action” climate mitigation campaign to promote strategies that will result in significant reductions of emissions, temperature, and impacts in the near term, focusing primarily on strategies to reduce non-CO₂ climate pollutants, to complement cuts in CO₂, which is responsible for more than half of all warming. It is essential to reduce both non-CO₂ pollutants and CO₂. Neither alone is sufficient to limit the increase in global temperature to a safe level.

IGSD’s fast-action strategies include reducing emissions of short-lived climate pollutants—black carbon, methane, tropospheric ozone, and hydrofluorocarbons. They also include measures to capture, reuse, and / or store CO₂ after it is emitted, including biosequestration and strategies to turn biomass into more stable forms of carbon for long-term storage.

Durwood Zaelke, President*

Institute for Governance & Sustainable Development

*We would like to thank N. Borgford-Parnell, X. Sun, & D. Clare for their work on this Primer, as well as our outside reviewers.
Executive Summary

Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emissions are responsible for 55-60% of anthropogenic radiative forcing.$^1$ Fast and aggressive CO$_2$ mitigation is therefore essential to combat the resulting climate change. But this is not enough. CO$_2$ mitigation must be combined with fast and aggressive reductions of the pollutants causing the other 40-45% of warming.$^2$ These pollutants include black carbon, tropospheric ozone, methane, and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). Because these pollutants have atmospheric lifetimes of only days to a decade and a half, they are referred to as short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs). Reducing SLCPs is critical for slowing the rate of climate change over the next several decades and for protecting the people and regions most vulnerable to near-term climate impacts.

Although we have known about SLCPs for more than thirty-five years,$^3$ the following scientific developments have catapulted them to the front lines in the battle against climate change.

- *First* is the recognition that we have already added enough greenhouse gases to warm the planet by 2.4°C or more during this century.$^4$ Much of this warming has been offset by cooling aerosols, primarily sulfates, which are being reduced under current air pollution policies. These reductions are important, but will contribute to near-term warming. Without fast-action mitigation to cut SLCPs, warming may cross the 1.5°C to 2°C threshold by mid-century. Reducing SLCPs is the most effective strategy for constraining warming in the near term, since most of their warming effect disappears within weeks to a decade and a half after reductions.
• **Second** is the recognition that in addition to being climate forcers, three of the four SLCPs are also air pollutants that damage public health and ecosystems. Reducing them will prevent millions of premature deaths every year, protect tens of millions of tonnes of crops, and contribute to sustainable development.

• **Third** is the recognition that the benefits for health, crops, and sustainable development will accrue primarily in the nations or regions that take action to mitigate these pollutants, due to the stronger impacts black carbon and tropospheric ozone have near their emissions sources.

• **Fourth** is the recognition that there are practical and proven ways to reduce all four of these pollutants and that existing laws and institutions are often available to support the reductions.

Reducing three of the SLCPs—black carbon, tropospheric ozone, and methane—has the potential to avoid $\sim 0.5^\circ \text{C}$ global average warming by 2050$^5$ and $0.84^\circ \text{C}$ in the Arctic by 2070.$^6$ This would cut the current rate of global warming by half, the rate of warming in the Arctic by two thirds, and the rate of warming over the elevated regions of the Himalayas and Tibet by at least half.$^7$

Reducing SLCPs will in turn:

• Help stabilize regional climate systems and reduce heat waves, fires, droughts, floods and hurricanes in mid-latitudes, and slow shifts in monsoons, expansion of desertification, and increases in cyclones in the tropics.

• Slow the melting of glaciers and Arctic sea ice and the rate of sea-level rise.$^8$

• Slow the pace of other climate impacts and provide critical time to adapt to unavoidable impacts.
The primary direct local benefits for developing countries from reducing SLCPs include:

- Saving millions of lives a year and significantly reducing other illnesses.
- Improving food security.
- Expanding access to sustainable energy for the billions forced to depend on traditional cooking and heating fuels.

Preventing growth in the other SLCP, HFCs, can increase the warming prevented by 2050 to $-0.6^\circ C$ and can prevent an additional $-0.4^\circ C$ warming by 2100. Reductions in all of these SLCPs can be achieved quickly, and in most cases by using existing technologies and existing laws and institutions.

Using existing technologies and institutions to reduce these non-CO$_2$ climate pollutants may offer the best near-term protection for the countries that are most vulnerable to climate change impacts, including island nations, countries with low-lying coastal areas, and agriculture-dependent countries in Asia and Africa already suffering droughts, floods, and shifting rainfall. Slowing the rate of climate change and reducing near-term impacts is a critical complement to adaptation strategies and to sustainable development, with the potential to provide global benefits for climate, crops, and health valued at $5.9$ trillion annually, starting in 2030.

Each of these four SLCPs are being addressed in the Climate and Clean Air Coalition to Reduce Short-Lived Climate Pollutants (CCAC). The CCAC is comprised of developing and developed countries, along with UNEP, UNDP, the European Commission, and the World Bank, as well as non-governmental organizations. The G8 countries joined the Coalition and their leaders requested the World Bank to conduct a study of how best to integrate SLCP reductions in its programs.
In addition to being included in the CCAC, HFCs are addressed in the Rio + 20 declaration, *The Future We Want*, where leaders supported phasing down HFC production and use.\(^\text{10}\) Such a phase down can be achieved through the Montreal Protocol, while simultaneously improving the energy efficiency of refrigerators, air conditioners, and other equipment and products that use these chemicals, thus reducing $\text{CO}_2$ emissions as well. The Federated States of Micronesia has made a formal proposal to amend the Montreal Protocol to do this, as have the North American Parties (Mexico, Canada, and the U.S.).\(^\text{11}\) As of 2013 more than 100 Parties have expressed support.\(^\text{12}\) Action at national and regional levels, such as the European Union’s regulatory efforts, also can help reduce HFCs, as can voluntary efforts.

Although reducing SLCPs is essential for reducing near-term climate impacts, it is not sufficient. Aggressive reductions in $\text{CO}_2$ emissions also are essential for limiting temperature rise. However, in contrast to the short lifetime of SLCPs, only about half of $\text{CO}_2$ emissions are removed from the atmosphere in the first hundred years with a significant fraction lasting for several millennia.\(^\text{13}\) Reducing $\text{CO}_2$ emissions now, in line with 450 parts per million (ppm) scenarios, can avoid approximately $0.15^\circ\text{C}$ of additional warming compared to the warming expected from a business-as-usual (BAU) scenario within 30 years, growing to $0.5^\circ\text{C}$ within 50 years.\(^\text{14}\) However, such cuts to $\text{CO}_2$ alone, would still see temperatures rise above $2^\circ\text{C}$ by the middle of this century (see Fig. 5).

Importantly, SLCP and $\text{CO}_2$ reductions are complementary, and if large-scale reductions of both are undertaken immediately there is a high probability of keeping the increase in global temperature to less than $1.5^\circ\text{C}$ above the pre-industrial temperature for the next 30 years and below the $2^\circ\text{C}$ guardrail for the next 60 to 90 years (see Fig. 4 & 5).
Introduction to Short-lived Climate Pollutants

CO$_2$ emissions account for 55-60% of current anthropogenic radiative forcing. Fast and aggressive CO$_2$ cuts are essential to combat the resulting climate change. But this is not enough. CO$_2$ cuts must be combined with fast and aggressive cuts to SLCPs, which are causing the other 40-45% of forcing.

Black Carbon

Black carbon is a potent climate-forcing aerosol that remains in the atmosphere for only a few days or weeks.\textsuperscript{15} It is a component of soot and is a product of the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, biofuels, and biomass.\textsuperscript{16} Black carbon contributes to climate change in several ways: it warms the atmosphere directly by absorbing solar radiation and emitting it as heat, it contributes to melting by darkening the surfaces of ice and snow when it is deposited on them, and it can also affect the microphysical properties of clouds in a manner than can perturb precipitation patterns. Recent estimates of black carbon’s radiative forcing confirm that it is the second leading cause of global warming.\textsuperscript{17} The total climate forcing of black carbon is 1.1 W m$^{-2}$, second only to CO$_2$ (1.7 W m$^{-2}$).\textsuperscript{18}

Black carbon also harms human health; it is a primary component of fine particle air pollution (PM2.5), and can cause or contribute to a number of adverse health effects, including asthma and other respiratory problems, low birth weights, heart attacks, and lung cancer.\textsuperscript{19}

The main sources of black carbon are open burning of biomass, diesel engines, and the residential burning of solid fuels such as
coal, wood, dung, and agricultural residues. In 2000, global emissions of black carbon were estimated at approximately 7.5 million tons, with a large uncertainty range.

Black carbon is co-emitted with other pollutants, some of which are light in color and cause cooling by scattering solar radiation back into the atmosphere. The type and quantity of pollutants differs by source, and a high ratio of warming to cooling pollutants indicates the most promising sources to target for producing fast cooling. A recent assessment of black carbon confirmed that emissions from diesel engines and some industrial and residential coal sources have the highest ratio of black carbon to lighter co-emitted pollutants compared to other black carbon sources.

Another recent study of co-emitted pollutants known collectively as “brown carbon” indicates that even black carbon sources that have a high proportion of lighter co-emitted pollutants, such as the open burning of biomass, may still cause net warming. This is because the warming from brown carbon appears to be offsetting some or all of the lighter particles’ cooling effect. This, in turn, would mean that the lighter pollutants are not offsetting as much warming from black carbon as assumed in many models.

Over areas of snow and ice, such as the Arctic, even sources with a large proportion of pollutants that normally cause cooling still produce significant warming. This is because deposition of both darker and lighter particles, including dust, reduces the reflectivity (albedo) of snow and ice, allowing more solar radiation to be absorbed, which causes local warming and increases surface melting. Regardless of the climate effect, all particle pollutants harm human health.

Thanks to modern pollution controls and fuel switching, black carbon emissions in North America and Europe were significantly curbed in the early 1900s. However, mobile sources, particularly
diesel vehicles, continue to be a major source category for these regions. Black carbon sources in developing countries are significantly different from those in North America and Europe. In developing countries, a much larger proportion of black carbon emissions comes from residential heating and cooking, and industry. According to UNEP, global emissions of black carbon are expected to remain relatively stable through 2030, with continuing reductions in North America and Europe largely offset by continued growth in other parts of the world.

**Methane**

Methane is a powerful greenhouse gas with a 100-year global warming potential 21 times that of CO$_2$ and an atmospheric lifetime of approximately 12 years. About 60% of global methane emissions are due to human activities. The main sources of anthropogenic methane emissions are oil and gas systems; agriculture, including enteric fermentation, manure management, and rice cultivations; landfills; wastewater treatment; and emissions from coal mines. Methane is the primary component of natural gas, with some emitted to the atmosphere during its production, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution.

The radiative forcing of methane in 2005 was 0.48 W/m$^2$, which is about 30% of CO$_2$ radiative forcing. According to a recent UNEP and WMO assessment, anthropogenic methane emissions are expected to grow 25% over 2005 levels by 2030, driven by increased production from coal mining and oil and gas production, and growth in agricultural and municipal waste emissions.

**Tropospheric Ozone**

Ozone is a reactive gas which, when in the stratosphere, absorbs dangerous ultraviolet radiation; however, lower atmosphere
(tropospheric) ozone is a major air and climate pollutant which causes warming and is harmful to human health and crop production. Breathing ozone is particularly dangerous to children, older adults and people with lung diseases, and can cause bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, and may permanently scar lung tissue. Its impacts on plant include not only lower crop yields but also a reduced ability to absorb CO$_2$.

Tropospheric ozone is not emitted directly but instead forms from reactions between precursor gases, both human-produced and natural. These precursor gases include carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen (NOx), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which include methane. Globally increased methane emissions are responsible for approximately two thirds of the rise in tropospheric ozone. Reducing emissions of methane will lead to significant reductions in tropospheric ozone and its damaging effects.

**Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)**

HFCs are factory-made chemicals used primarily in refrigeration and insulating foams. They have a warming effect hundreds to thousands of times more powerful than CO$_2$. The average lifetime of the mix of HFCs, weighted by usage, is 15 years. HFCs are the fastest growing greenhouse gases in many countries, including the U.S., where emissions grew nearly 9% between 2009 and 2010 compared to 3.6% for CO$_2$. Globally, HFC emissions are growing 10 to 15% per year and are expected to double by 2020. Without fast action to limit their growth, by 2050 the annual climate forcing of HFCs could equal nearly 20% of the forcing from CO$_2$ emissions in a BAU scenario, and up to 40% of the forcing from CO$_2$ emissions under a scenario where CO$_2$ concentrations have been limited to 450 parts ppm (see Fig. 1). This is about the same as the forcing from present annual CO$_2$ emissions from the transportation sector.
Projected radiative forcing of climate by HFCs and CO\textsubscript{2} since 2000, when the influence of HFCs was essentially zero. The HFC climate forcing for an upper range scenario is compared with the CO\textsubscript{2} forcing for the range of scenarios from IPCC-SRES and the 450 ppm CO\textsubscript{2} stabilization scenario. Clearly, the contribution of HFCs to radiative forcing could be very significant in the future; by 2050, it could be as much as a quarter of that due to CO\textsubscript{2} increases since 2000, if the upper range HFC scenario is compared to the median of the SRES scenario. Alternatively, the contribution of HFCs to radiative forcing could be one fifth the radiative forcing due to CO\textsubscript{2} increases since 2000, if the upper range HFC scenario is compared to the upper range of the SRES scenario. The contribution of HFCs to radiative forcing could also be as much as 40\% of the radiative forcing by CO\textsubscript{2} under the 450 ppm scenario.\textsuperscript{45}
Both CO$_2$ Mitigation and SLCP Mitigation are Critical for Climate Safety

Importance of Immediate CO$_2$ Mitigation

CO$_2$ is the single most significant climate forcer, accounting for 55-60% of present climate forcing. Substantial and immediate reductions in CO$_2$ emissions are necessary to limit global temperature rise, although CO$_2$ reductions are less effective for limiting warming over the next 30 years.$^{46}$ Even after reductions in emissions take place, resultant reductions in warming will be gradual, taking almost half a century.$^{47}$ For example, keeping CO$_2$ emissions to below 450 ppm by 2100 is predicted to prevent approximately 0.15°C of BAU warming in the first 30 years, with prevented warming increasing to 0.5°C 50 years after significant reductions begin (see Fig. 5).$^{48}$ Cuts to CO$_2$ alone, while providing significant avoided temperatures compared to BAU warming, would still see temperatures rise above 2°C by the middle of this century (see Fig. 5).

CO$_2$ emissions will continue to cause warming over the long term because of their long lifetime in the atmosphere. While approximately 50% of CO$_2$ is removed from the atmosphere within a century, a substantial portion (20-40%) of CO$_2$ emissions remains in the atmosphere for millennia (see Fig. 2).$^{49}$ CO$_2$’s long atmospheric lifetime combined with the thermal inertia of the ocean, which causes trapped heat to be released over many centuries, means that if CO$_2$ emissions were to cease, more than 80% of the expected decrease in global mean temperatures would not be realized for up to a thousand years.$^{50}$
The long legacy of warming due to anthropogenic CO$_2$ will cause a number of long-term impacts, such as sea level rise, that are irreversible on human timescales, even if emissions were to cease tomorrow. Committed sea-level rise from thermal expansion alone could be as high as one meter if atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations are allowed to exceed 600 ppm (see Fig. 4). (Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations reached 394 ppm in 2012 and could reach as high as 1,100 ppm by the end of this century under BAU scenarios.)
Figure 2: Time Scales for Removal of \( \text{CO}_2 \) from the Atmosphere

Model simulation of atmospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration for >100,000 years following a large \( \text{CO}_2 \) release from combustion of fossil fuels. Different fractions of the released gas recover on different timescales.\(^{54}\)
Significantly reducing CO$_2$ emissions will require a massive decarbonization of the global economy and energy systems. It requires a portfolio of actions including conservation and efficiency improvements to reduce the carbon intensity of energy use, along with the replacement of fossil fuels with renewables, carbon capture, reuse, and storage, and numerous other steps.$^{55}$ However, building a new, cleaner energy infrastructure to reduce CO$_2$ emissions will require considerable energy from the present infrastructure. The very effort to put in place a sustainable energy system will likely require increased emissions over the short term. Therefore, the prevention of climate impacts from such an effort would likely be delayed for several decades.$^{56}$

**Figure 3: Irreversible Sea-Level Rise and Warming from CO$_2$**

The black line shows irreversible global average surface warming based upon peak atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations. The red band shows lower limit range of corresponding sea-level rise from thermal expansion only, due to peak atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations.$^{57}$
Importance of Immediate SLCP Mitigation

Cutting SLCPs is a critical climate strategy for reducing the near-term rate of global warming, particularly in regions most vulnerable to climate change, as well as for offsetting the near-term warming that will result from reductions of cooling aerosols such as sulfates, which are important to reduce to protect public health and ecosystems despite the warming this will cause.

SLCPs account for approximately 40-45% of present climate forcing. In contrast to CO₂, the short atmospheric lifetimes of SLCPs means that reducing them will produce as much as 90% of predicted prevented warming within a decade, with the final 10% delayed for hundreds of years due to ocean thermal inertia. Reducing three of the non-CO₂ SLCPs—black carbon, tropospheric ozone, and methane—has the potential to avoid 0.5°C global warming by 2050 and 0.7°C in the Arctic by 2040, which can cut the rate of global warming by half, the rate of Arctic warming by two thirds, and can reduce warming in the high altitude Himalayan-Tibetan Plateau by at least half. (During the past half century, the rate of global warming has been about 0.13°C per decade. The rate of warming in the Arctic is currently at least twice the global average, and the rate in the Himalayas and Tibet is about three times the global average.) Adding HFC reductions to these black carbon, tropospheric ozone and methane reductions can increase the reduction in the rate of global warming from 50% to about 60%.

While the measured warming from climate pollutants is presently about 0.8°C above preindustrial levels, the total warming that is committed but yet not fully realized from historic emissions through 2005 is estimated to be 2.4 to 4.3°C. Up to 1.15°C of this committed warming is currently being ‘masked’ by emissions of cooling aerosols, primarily sulfates, from fossil fuel and biomass combustion which are now being rapidly reduced to protect human
health and ecosystems. Un-masking this committed warming could push global temperatures over the 2°C guardrail by mid-century (see Fig. 4, blue line). Reducing HFCs, black carbon, tropospheric ozone, and methane is essential for limiting this warming (see Fig. 4, black line).

**Figure 4: Warming Avoided Through Combined SLCP and CO₂ Mitigation**

The red line depicts strong mitigation of CO₂ (peaking in 2015 and remaining at 2015 levels until 2100, reaching a concentration peak of 430 ppm by 2050), but no mitigation of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases, and does not account for forcing from aerosols or land use change; the blue line is the same as the red line except it includes warming and cooling aerosol forcing and the mitigation of cooling sulfate aerosols; the black line is the same as the blue line except it includes mitigation of all SLCPs including HFCs; the pink and yellow backgrounds show zones beyond 2°C and 1.5°C.

**Benefits of Combined CO₂ and SLCP Mitigation**

CO₂ and SLCPs can be thought of as two separate control knobs for temperature increase that operate independently and on
different timescales. Both must be turned down simultaneously and immediately as part of a comprehensive climate strategy to prevent possible near-term, abrupt climate change and long-term climate destabilization. The combination of CO₂ mitigation and SLCP mitigation provides the greatest chance of keeping global temperatures below 1.5°C for the next 30 to 40 years and provides the best chance to keep global temperatures below the 2°C guardrail through 2100.

**Figure 5: Temperature Rise Predictions Under Various Mitigation Scenarios**

Observed temperatures through 2009 and projected temperatures thereafter under various scenarios, all relative to the 1890–1910 mean. Results for future scenarios are the central values from analytic equations estimating the response to forcings calculated from composition-climate modeling and literature assessments. The rightmost bars give 2070 ranges, including uncertainty in radiative forcing and climate sensitivity.
A portion of the uncertainty is systematic, so that overlapping ranges do not mean there is no significant difference.) (Note: HFC mitigation is not included in this graph, although it is included in Fig. 4, above.)

**Benefits for Climate Vulnerable Regions**

Global warming is expressed as a global average increase in surface temperature, but warming is experienced unevenly across different regions, with some of the world’s most vulnerable regions warming much faster than the global average rate. For example, Africa is warming about one and a half times faster than the average, and the Arctic and the Himalayan-Tibetan plateau are warming two to three times of the average global rate. Therefore, it is particularly important that SLCP reductions may be able to rapidly reduce the rate of regional warming in places such as the Arctic, the high elevation regions of the Himalayas and Tibet, and other regions with vulnerable climates, including those where enhanced warming may trigger amplifying feedbacks and/or the passage of potential climate tipping points—the points at which a chain of events escalate such that it is impossible to return to former condition.

Warming in the Arctic and Himalayan-Tibetan plateau in particular could lead to dangerous climate feedbacks that cause warming to accelerate past tipping points. One example of such a feedback is the melting of Arctic snow and sea-ice, which reached a record low in September 2012. As the reflective ice and snow is replaced with darker heat-absorbing land and ocean, warming can amplify, which in turn further reduces ice and snow cover, creating the dangerous feedback loop.

Black carbon is estimated to be responsible for 50% of the increase in Arctic warming, or almost 1°C of the total 1.9°C increase between 1890 and 2007. Approximately 50% of the warming on
the Himalayan-Tibetan plateau has also been attributed to black carbon.\textsuperscript{79} Cutting black carbon, tropospheric ozone and methane can cut the rate of warming in the Arctic by two thirds and the rate of warming over the elevated regions of the Himalayan-Tibetan plateau by at least half.\textsuperscript{80} Reducing these pollutants is essential, though not sufficient for saving the Arctic and other vulnerable places in the short term.\textsuperscript{81}

\textbf{Benefits for Human Health and Food Security}

In addition to climate benefits, reducing SLCPs provides strong benefits for public health and food security. Cutting these local air pollutants can save up to 4.7 million lives each year, increase global crop yields by up to 135 million metric tons and repair the ability of plants to sequester carbon, a function now being impaired by tropospheric ozone.\textsuperscript{82} According to one study, the deaths avoided from technically possible reductions in black carbon and methane would represent “1-8\% of cardiopulmonary and lung cancer deaths among those age 30 years and older, and 1-7\% of all deaths for all ages.”\textsuperscript{83} Improvements in crop production are estimated to be up to 4\% of total annual global production of the four major staple grains: maize, rice, soybeans, and wheat.\textsuperscript{84}

Due to the heightened effects of black carbon and tropospheric ozone near emissions sources, these benefits, including much of the climate mitigation benefits, are enjoyed largely by the regions making the cuts. For example, eliminating emissions of black carbon from traditional solid biomass stoves with improved cook stoves would have a major impact in reducing black carbon direct climate effects over South Asia (by about 60\%).\textsuperscript{85}
Mitigation Measures for Short-lived Climate Pollutants

Black Carbon and Methane Mitigation

Recent studies have identified fourteen mitigation measures targeting emissions of black carbon and methane that can provide immediate benefits. These measures are capable of reducing global methane emissions by ~38% and emissions of black carbon by ~77%, realizing “nearly 90% of the maximum reduction in net GWP,” from these sources.

Methane Control Measures
- Control fugitive emissions from oil and gas production
- Control emissions from coal mining
- Control fugitive emissions from long distance gas transmission
- Capture gas from municipal waste and landfills
- Capture gas from wastewater treatment facilities
- Capture gas from livestock manure
- Intermittent aeration of constantly flooded rice paddies

Black Carbon Control Measures
- Install particulate filters on diesel vehicles
- Replace traditions cooking stoves with clean burning biomass stoves
- Modernize brick kilns
- Modernize coke ovens
- Ban open burning of biomass
- Eliminate high emitting on and off-road diesel vehicles
- Provide global access to modern cooking and heating
Reducing diesel black carbon emissions along with other key sources, including brick kilns and residential solid fuel burning, can quickly reduce warming because of the low levels of co-emitted cooling aerosols from these sources.\textsuperscript{88} In addition, replacing the millions of kerosene-fueled simple wick lamps used in many developing countries, with low cost and low-emission lamps, could provide significant black carbon mitigation.\textsuperscript{89}

Most of the control measures for reducing black carbon, and for reducing tropospheric ozone by reducing one of its precursors, methane, can be implemented today with existing technologies, and often with existing laws and institutions, including through enhancement and enforcement of existing air quality regulations.\textsuperscript{90}

Half of the identified black carbon and methane measures can be implemented with a net cost savings averaged globally.\textsuperscript{91} Recent analysis indicates that approximately 64\% of predicted reductions in methane from the identified measures can be achieved for less than $250 per metric ton, well below the estimated ~$1000 per metric ton value gained from climate mitigation, improved health outcomes, and crop production.\textsuperscript{92} For black carbon, improved efficiencies from modernizing brick kilns and replacing traditional wood burning stoves can lead to a net cost savings, and together account for approximately half of possible black carbon reductions.\textsuperscript{93} Recent research indicates that a large portion of the remaining black carbon mitigation measures will likely cost substantially less than the value of the health, climate, and crop benefits achieved (see Table 1).\textsuperscript{94} All of these mitigation measures are ultimately cost effective when the $5.9 trillion annual benefits that start in 2030 are taken into account, and can be achieved by linearly phasing in the identified fourteen targeted control measures from 2010 through 2030 (see Table 1).\textsuperscript{95}
Table 1: Valuation of Global Benefits from Full Implementation of 14 SLCP Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Methane Measures</th>
<th>Black Carbon Measures</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Climate Benefit</strong></td>
<td>$331 (449 – 213)</td>
<td>$225 (343 – 13)</td>
<td>$556 (792 – 226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop Benefit</strong></td>
<td>$4.2 (5.4 – 3)</td>
<td>$4 (7.2 – 0.8)</td>
<td>$8.2 (12.6 – 3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Benefit</strong></td>
<td>$148 (247 – 49)</td>
<td>$5142 (9853 – 1564)</td>
<td>$5290 (10100 – 1613)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$483.2 (701.4 – 265)</td>
<td>$5371 (10203.2 – 1577.8)</td>
<td>$5854.2 (10904.6 – 1845.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HFC Mitigation**

The mitigation approach for reducing HFCs is different from that for black carbon and methane. Because they are manmade, HFCs can be most effectively controlled through a phase down of their production and consumption, which could take place under the Montreal Protocol. The successful phase-out of CFCs and the ongoing phase-out of HCFCs have made the Montreal Protocol the world’s most effective climate treaty. Between 1990 and 2010 the Montreal Protocol reduced CO$_2$-eq emissions nearly twenty times more than the initial commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol (see Fig. 6).

There have been two proposals put forth to phase down high-GWP HFCs under the Montreal Protocol, one by the Federated States of Micronesia and the other by the North American countries, the U.S., Canada, and Mexico. The proposals are similar, and each would reduce 85-90% of HFC production and use, providing climate mitigation equivalent to 100 billion
tonnes of CO$_2$ emissions by 2050 (range of 87-146 billion tonnes) (see Fig. 7), at very low cost. The HFC amendments would substantially eliminate the global warming caused by one of the six Kyoto Protocol greenhouse gases by avoiding the production and use of high-GWP HFCs, providing up to 7% of the total CO$_2$-eq mitigation needed to have a 75% chance of staying below the 2°C guardrail.$^{103}$

**Figure 6: Climate Protection of the Montreal Protocol and the Kyoto Protocol**

UNEP, Climate Protection of the Montreal Protocol and the Kyoto Protocol (2012)$^{104}$

HFCs are now the fastest growing GHG pollutant in the U.S. and in many other countries. This is due in part to their being used as replacements for HCFCs, which are now being phased out, and
in part to the growing global demand for air conditioning and refrigeration.\textsuperscript{105} This demand is increasing as the world warms and as the population grows and gets richer. If left unchecked, by 2050 warming from annual emissions of HFCs could be equivalent to 20\% of warming from annual CO\textsubscript{2} emissions under a BAU scenario, and up to 45\% of the warming from annual CO\textsubscript{2} emissions under a 450 ppm CO\textsubscript{2} stabilization scenario.\textsuperscript{106}

Many national governments have taken action to reduce HFCs. Such action includes: creating national databases of equipment containing HFCs in Hungary, Slovenia, and Estonia; mandatory refrigerant leakage checks for mobile equipment in Germany, Sweden, and the Netherlands; and producer responsibility schemes requiring producers and suppliers of HFCs to take back recovered bulk HFCs for further recycling, reclamation and destruction in Sweden and Germany.\textsuperscript{107} California is reducing HFC use in mobile air conditioning systems through its Low Emission Vehicle (LEV III) regulation by requiring that all passenger cars, light duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles use refrigerants with a global warming potential less than or equal to 150, as of model year 2017.\textsuperscript{108} The U.S. allows manufacturers of cars and light-trucks to generate credits towards their compliance with CO\textsubscript{2} emission standards and fuel economy CAFE standards by employing HFC alternative refrigerants in mobile air conditioning systems for model year 2012-2016 vehicles.\textsuperscript{109} According to the new rules for model years 2017-2025, U.S. CAFE standards continue to provide HFC alternative credits and include credits for improvements in mobile air conditioner efficiency.\textsuperscript{110} The EC is currently strengthening its f-gas regulations.

Private companies are also taking voluntary action to limit HFCs. The Consumer Good Forum, a global network of over 650 retailers, manufactures, service providers, and other stakeholders from over seventy countries has pledged to begin phasing out HFCs in new equipment beginning in 2015.\textsuperscript{111}
Because the global weighted average lifetime of HFCs now in use is 15 years, HFCs are included in the CCAC. In the Rio +20 declaration, *The Future We Want*, more than one hundred heads of State recognized the climate damage from HFCs and called for the gradual phase down of their production and consumption. In addition, 108 countries have joined the *Bangkok Declaration* calling for the use of low-GWP alternatives to CFCs and HCFCs.

**Figure 7: Projected HFC Emission Reductions from FSM and NA Proposals**

The North American proposal and the Micronesian proposal are similar; both decrease the cumulative (2013-2050) direct GWP-weighted emissions of HFCs to 22-24 GtCO$_2$-eq from 110-170 GtCO$_2$-eq, for a total of ~87 to 146 GtCO$_2$-eq in mitigation. This is equivalent to a reduction from projected annual emissions of 5.5 to 8.8 GtCO$_2$-eq/yr in 2050 to less than ~0.3 GtCO$_2$-eq/yr.
Climate and Clean Air Coalition to Reduce SLCPs

The CCAC was launched in February 2012, and now has 27 State partners, as well as the European Commission, World Bank, United Nations Environment Programme, United Nations Development Programme, and United Nations Industrial Development Organization. The State partners are: Australia, Bangladesh, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Côte d’Ivoire, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Germany, Ghana, Israel, Italy, Japan, Jordan, the Republic of Korea, the Republic of Maldives, Mexico, the Netherlands, Nigeria, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, the U.K., and the U.S. The CCAC also has 18 NGO partners: Bellona Foundation, Center for Clean Air Policy, Center for Human Rights and Environment, Clean Air Initiative for Asian Cities, Clean Air Institute, Clean Air Task Force, ClimateWorks Foundation, Earthjustice, Environmental Defense Fund, Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves, Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, International Council on Clean Transportation, International Cryosphere Climate Initiative, International Institute for Sustainable Development, International Union of Air Pollution Prevention and Environmental Protection Associations, Molina Center for Strategic Studies in Energy and the Environment, Stockholm Environment Institute. UNEP was elected to be the initial NGO representative on the Coalition’s Steering Committee. UNEP is representing the Intergovernmental Organizations.

In conjunction with the Rio+20 summit in June 2012, the Coalition and the World Bank joined New York City Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg, Chair of the C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group, former U.S. President Bill Clinton, and Rio de Janeiro Mayor
Eduardo Paes to announce the launch of the *Solid Waste Network* to help cities reduce methane emissions through solid waste management.\(^{117}\)

The CCAC is the first-ever global effort specifically dedicated to reducing emissions of SLCPs as a collective challenge. The CCAC seeks to reduce SLCPs by supporting and coordinating existing programs such as the Clean Cookstove Initiative and the Global Methane Initiative, while “driving development of national action plans and the adoption of policy priorities; building capacity among developing countries; mobilizing public and private action; raising awareness globally; fostering regional and international cooperation, and; improving scientific understanding of the pollutant impacts and mitigation.”\(^{118}\)

Five targeted initiatives have been approved by the CCAC for rapid implementation:\(^{119}\)

- Reducing black carbon emissions from heavy duty diesel vehicles and engines;
- Mitigating black carbon and other pollutants from brick production;
- Mitigating short-lived climate pollutants from the municipal solid waste sector;
- Promoting HFC alternative technologies and standards; and
- Accelerating methane reductions from oil and natural gas production.

The Coalition is developing additional proposals including one addressing open burning of biomass by Ghana and another on cookstoves from Bangladesh.

The CCAC Secretariat is hosted by UNEP’s Paris office, and will manage a dedicated Trust Fund, with an initial contribution of $16.7 million from the U.S., Canada, Sweden, and Norway.\(^{120}\)
The World Bank indicated that it has $12 billion of its portfolio contributing to the CCAC’s goals.\textsuperscript{121} It further indicated that it wants to significantly expand its funding for SLCP mitigation, going from 12\% of its portfolio in 2012 to 15\% by 2015 and 20\% by 2020.\textsuperscript{122} Also, the G8 leaders commissioned the World Bank to prepare a report on ways to integrate reductions of SLCPs into their activities and to assess funding options for methane reductions.\textsuperscript{123}

**Other Regional and Global SLCP Mitigation Initiatives**

In addition to the CCAC there are a number of other global and regional initiatives that target SLCPs. For example, the Executive Body of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) recently approved an amendment to the Gothenburg Protocol adopting new PM requirements and including specific language on black carbon, making it the first international treaty to act on the link between air pollution and climate change.\textsuperscript{124} The Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves and the Global Methane Initiative are both specifically targeting some of the largest global sources of black carbon and methane emissions.\textsuperscript{125} UNEP’s Atmospheric Brown Cloud program is also addressing black carbon and tropospheric ozone, with a focus on Asia and plans to expand to Latin America and Africa.\textsuperscript{126} Finally, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) is currently considering whether to control black carbon emissions from ships.\textsuperscript{127} The Arctic Environment Ministers recently called for “urgent action” to reduce SLCPs to protect the Arctic and reduce the risk of feedback mechanisms that accelerate warming and lead to irreversible impacts, and encouraged the Arctic Council to consider a new “instrument or other arrangements to enhance efforts to reduce emissions of black carbon from the Arctic States” for decision at the 2015 Arctic Ministerial meeting.\textsuperscript{128}
Conclusion

Reducing SLCPs will reduce near-term climate impacts, slow dangerous feedbacks, allow more time to adapt, and reduce the risk of passing tipping points that could lead to irreversible climate damage. In addition to providing near-term climate benefits, cutting SLCPs would also provide major benefits for human health and food security and would contribute to sustainable development goals. Cutting SLCPs to achieve near-term climate benefits is an important complement to reducing CO$_2$ emissions, but SLCP reductions are not a substitute for the immediate action urgently needed to reduce CO$_2$. Reducing both CO$_2$ and SLCPs provides the best chance of limiting global temperature rise to below 2°C through 2100. As highlighted by Nobel Laureate Mario Molina and co-authors, regulatory measures in dedicated venues are often the preferred way to reduce SLCPs.$^{129}$
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